



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/642,904	08/18/2003	Marcus Gerrard Lindsey	L1172	3198	
7590 02/10/2005		EXAMINER			
Sanford Astor			BLAU, STEPHEN LUTHER		
18th Floor 10940 Wilshire	Blvd.	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
Los Angeles, CA 90024			3711		

DATE MAILED: 02/10/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary		10/642,90		LINDSEY, MARCUS GERRARD				
		Examiner		Art Unit				
	•	Stephen L		3711				
The M	AILING DATE of this communicati	<u> </u>			dress			
Period for Reply		on appears on the		orrosportastios dat	2,000			
THE MAILING - Extensions of tir after SIX (6) MC - If the period for - If NO period for - Failure to reply v Any reply receiv	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR G DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of 37 DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of 37 DATE of the mailing date of this communication of 37 period of the mailing date of this communication of the specified above is less than thirty (30) day reply is specified above, the maximum statutor within the set or extended period for reply will, the distribution of the set of	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no eviation. ys, a reply within the stat y period will apply and w by statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the lication to become ABANDONE	s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	<i>r.</i> mmunication.			
Status								
1)⊠ Respor	nsive to communication(s) filed or	n <u>19 November 2</u>	<u>004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This ac	tion is FINAL . 2b)	☐ This action is n	on-final.					
3)☐ Since t	his application is in condition for a	allowance except	for formal matters, pro	secution as to the	merits is			
closed	in accordance with the practice u	ınder <i>Ex part</i> e Qu	<i>ayl</i> e, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	3 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of C	laims							
4)⊠ Claim(s	s) <u>1-21</u> is/are pending in the appli	cation.	,					
	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2 and 6-21</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s	☑ Claim(s) <u>1 and 3-5</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s	s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s	s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.					
Application Pap	ers							
9)∏ The spe	cification is objected to by the Ex	caminer						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 19 November 2004 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
	ment drawing sheet(s) including the			• •	R 1.121(d).			
11)∏ The oat	h or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner. No	ote the attached Office	Action or form PT	O-152.			
Priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119							
12)☐ Acknow	ledgment is made of a claim for f	oreian priority un	der 35 II S.C. & 119(a).	-(d) or (f)				
	b) Some * c) None of:	oroign phonicy uni	aci 00 0.0.0. 3 110(a)	-(d) Or (i).				
	Certified copies of the priority doc			on No				
	copies of the certified copies of th				Stage			
	pplication from the International I	•	` ''	,				
* See the a	attached detailed Office action for	r a list of the certi	fied copies not receive	d.				
A A A B B B B B B B B B B								
Attachment(s)	ences Cited (PTO-892)		, A\□ Image: 6	(DTO 440)				
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9	48))				
3) Information Dis Paper No(s)/Ma	closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ ail Date	/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal Pa	atent Application (PTO-	-152)			

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. It is noted on the Office action dated 20 September 2004 at the end of paragraph 1 the examiner incorrectly stated claims 3 and 6-21 have been withdrawn from further consideration. Due the to election of species the examiner should have said claims 2 and 6-21 have been withdrawn from further consideration. The Office Action Summary and the rejections of 20 September 2004 properly prosecuted the elected claims 1 and 3-5.

Specification

2. The changes to the disclosure and drawings are agreed with and the objections are removed.

Drawings

3. The changes to the drawings are agreed with and the objections are removed.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 1 and 3 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landsberger in view of Squadroni or Koch.

Landsberger discloses an implement having a handle (14), and adjustable airtight grip attached to the handle, a grip comprising a tubular solid, single piece of material placed over the handle (Fig. 4), means to introduce air between a grip and a handle (6) for inflating the grip to the size desired and means to release the air between the grip and a handle to commensurate with the manual capabilities of a user (Col. 1, Lns. 34-50) in order to have totally resilient handle providing maximum degree and comfort and utility for those with poor hand muscle or motor control as arthritic conditions (Col. 1, Lns. 1-22).

Landsberger lacks a sports implement, a grip material sealed to a handle only at the top and bottom of a grip, and a grip being circular. Squadroni discloses a sports implement (Col. 1, Lns. 11-15) where a circular (Fig. 4) tubular solid piece of material (15) is placed over a core shaft of a handle (12) where the grip material is sealed only on at a top and bottom of the handle area (Fig. 1) for inflating with air (Col. 1, Lns. 30-36). Kock discloses a sports implement (Fig. 2) where a circular (Fig. 8) tubular solid

Application/Control Number: 10/642,904

Art Unit: 3711

piece of material (54) is placed over a core shaft of a handle (44) where the grip material is sealed only on at a top and bottom of the handle area (Fig. 9) for filling with a fluid to pressurize a grip (Col. 6, Lns. 34-44). In view of Squadroni or Koch it would have been obvious to modify the implement of Landsberger to be for a sports device with a grip being circular in order to provide a grip for a sporting device having a circular handle to commensurate with the manual capabilities of a user having a totally resilient handle and providing maximum degree and comfort and utility for those with poor hand muscle or motor control as arthritic conditions. In view of Squadroni or Koch it would have been obvious to modify the implement of Landsberger to have a grip material sealed to a handle only at the top and bottom of a grip in order to minimize the amount of grip material needed to hold fluid in the form of air used for a grip.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landsberger in view of Squadroni or Koch as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Official Notice.

Landsberger discloses a grip being made of any suitable gauge inflatable material providing continuous inflating and leak proof (Col. 1, Lns. 35-50).

Landsberger lacks a grip being formed of rubber.

Squadroni discloses a grip (Fig. 2) with a portion of it being an inflated elastic material (Claim 3). The examiner makes Official Notice that a well known inflatable elastic material known is rubber. In view of the examiner's Official Notice it would have

Art Unit: 3711

been obvious to modify the inflatable grip of Landsberger to be made of rubber in order to utilize an inflatable elastic material used in the market place for inflating.

7. Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Landsberger in view of Squadroni or Koch as applied to claims 1 and 3 above, and further in view of Huang (5,355,552).

Landsberger lacks a means to introduce air being a finger pump placed at a top of a handle, an air duct to pass from a finger pump down through a handle to between a handle and a grip.

Kock discloses a duct through a handle to pass a fluid to pressurize an area between a grip and handle (Fig. 9, Ref. No. 88). Huang discloses a source of introducing a pressurized fluid between a grip and a handle being a finger pump (209). In view of the patent of Huang it would have been obvious to modify the sports element of Landsberger to have a means to introduce air being a finger pump placed at a top of a handle in order to prevent one from having to use their mouth to pressurize the area between the grip and the handle. In view of the patent of Kock it would have been obvious to modify the sports element of Landsberger to have an air duct to pass a fluid from a top of a grip to pressurized area between a handle and a grip down through a handle in order to utilize a known way to direct pressurizing fluid to between a grip and a handle which is used in the market place.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 3-5 are have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

9. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Conclusion

10. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steve Blau whose telephone number is (571) 272-4406.

Application/Control Number: 10/642,904

Art Unit: 3711

The examiner is available Monday through Friday from 8 a.m. to 4:30 p.m.. If the examiner is unavailable you can contact his supervisor Greg Vidovich whose telephone number is (571) 272-4415. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0858. (TC 3700 Official Fax 703-872-9306)

slb/7 February 2005

/STEPHEN BLAU
PRIMARY EXAMINER